

PII: S0957-4166(96)00265-0

A Chiral Oxazaborolidinone-Promoted Aldol Reaction with a Silyl Ketene Acetal from Ethyl 1,3-Dithiolane-2-carboxylate. Synthesis of Acetate Aldols in High Enantiomeric Purity

Syun-ichi Kiyooka* and Mostofa Abu Hena

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Akebono-cho, Kochi 780, Japan

Abstract: Asymmetric synthesis of dithiolane aldols 3 was achieved in good yields by using silyl ketene acetal 1, derived from ethyl 1,3-dithiolane-2-carboxylate, in the chiral oxazaborolidinone (L-1 and D-2)-promoted aldol reaction and desulfurization of 3 resulted in production of acetate aldols 4 in high enantiomerc purity. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Through the development of a variety of chiral promoters and catalysts, remarkable progress has recently been achieved in the enantioselectivity of the asymmetric Mukaiyama aldol reaction, thus meeting the level required for practical applications. However, in our approach to acetate aldols with the use of a stoichiometric amount of chiral oxazaborolidinone, a serious reduction (ca. 10-20%) of enantiomeric excess was observed in reaction with silyl ketene acetals derived from α-unsubstituted acetates, compared with the high level of enantioselectivity (>98% ee) in reaction with 1-ethoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)1-propene. Actually, asymmetric aldol reactions with acetate synthetic equivalents are prone to result in moderately lower enantioselectivity even with the use of chiral ester enolates, except in the cases of a few specific examples. Introduction of an eliminatable substituent, e.g., a methylthio⁴ or bromo substituent, after aldol reaction at the α-position of chiral esters resolved this problem. We disclose herein a reliable way to acetate aldols in high enantiomeric purity by our chiral oxazaborolidinone-promoted aldol reaction.

When we used a silyl ketene acetal derived from ethyl (methylthio)acetate in reaction with isobutyraldehyde, desulfurization of a minor syn product provided the corresponding acetate aldol in 99% ee while a major anti product gave the acetate aldol in only 13% ee. It was recognized that bulkiness at the α -position in the starting acetate is effective for enhancing the enantioselectivity in our chiral borane system. Introduction of two ethylthio substituents at the α -position of acetate, however, blocked the reaction from, presumably due to their excessive

steric hindrance. We, therefore, adopted a TMS version 1 (bp 100-102°C/1mmHg) of the lithium enolate prepared from ethyl 1,3-dithiolane-2-carboxylate^{6,7} as an alternative silvl ketene acetal (eq. 1).

Reaction of benzaldehyde with silyl ketene acetal 1 in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of chiral oxazaborolidinone L-2, derived from (S)-valine, (in CH_2CH_2 at -78°C for 3 h) gave aldol product 3a in 88% yield. Treatment of 3a with Ni_2B-H_2 (a large excess of anhydrous $NiCl_2$ and $NaBH_4$ under a hydrogen atmosphere) at rt for 16 h resulted in mild desulfurization to afford acetate aldol 5a in 85% yield. The enantiomeric purity of 5a was determined to be 98% ee by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD column. Hydrolysis of 5a gave the corresponding acid and then the absolute configuration was determined to be S by comparing its specific rotation value with the reported value. Therefore, the silyl ketene acetal, possessing a cyclic S, S-moiety, also turned out to react at Si face of the aldehyde coordinated to L-2 like the other silyl ketene acetals.

RCHO +
$$O$$

S

OTMS

H

CH₂Cl₂, -78°C, 3 h

R

S

S

OEt

(2)

Table 1. Asymmetric Synthesis of Dithiolane Aldols 3 by Chiral Oxazaborolidinone Promoted Aldol reaction (eq. 2)

Entry	RCHO	Chiral Borane 2 ^a	Product	3 ^b (% yield) ^c	$[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$	(CHCl ₃)		
1	Ph	L-2	3a	(88)	-23.7	(c 0.36)		
2	Ph	D-2	3a*	(85)	+24.0	(c 0.25)		
3	CH_3	L-2	3b	(73)	-2.00	(c 1.00)	83% ee ^d	
4	$(CH_3)_2CH$	L-2	3c	(65)	-58.0	(c 1.00)		
5	$PhCH_2$	L-2	3d	(57)	+22.0	(c 1.00)		
6	$PhCH_2CH_2$	L-2	3e	(85)	+26.0	(c 0.40)		
7	PhCH=CH	L-2	3f	(42)	+16.8	(c 1.00)		
Ph CHO S COOEt								
			4	(22)				
8	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₆	L-2	3g	(80)	+14.1	(c 1.35)	98% ee ^d	

^a L-2 and D-2 represent the chiral borane catalysts derived from (S)- and (R)-valine, respectively. ^bAsterisk shows the reverse configuration. ^c Isolated yields. ^dEnantiomeric excess was determined by Daicel Chiralcel OD with the benzoyl derivative of 3b and by Daicel Chiralcel AD with the benzoyl derivative of 3g.

As expected, the bulkiness of the substituent was apparently advantageous for attaining the high level of acetate aldols under catalyst control.

The results on the highly enantioselective synthesis of dithiolane aldols 3 are summarized in Table 1 (eq.2). By using D-2, derived from (R)-valine, the expected aldol $(3a^*)$ was obtained with the corresponding opposite

Table 2 Desulfurization of Dithiolane Aldols 3 to Acetate Aldols 5 (eq. 3)

Entry	R	Dithiolane Aldol 3	Acetate Aldol	15 (% yield) ^a	% ee ^b
1	Ph	3a	5a	(85)	98 (S)
2	Ph	3a*	5a*	(84)	97 (R)
3	CH_3	3b	5b	(87)	83 (R)
4	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	3c	5c	(83)	99 (S)
5	$PhCH_2$	3d	5d	(86)	96 (R)
6	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	3e	5e	(92)	99 (R)
7	$CH_3(CH_2)_6$	3g	5g	(88)	95 (R)

^aIsolated yields and asterisk shows the reverse configuration. ^bEnantiomeric excess was determined by Daicel Chiralcel OD and OA columns with acetate aldols and their benzoyl derivatives. Absolute configurations were determined by comparing the specific rotation values of the derived acids and esters with those of literature values (lit. 3b, 8, and 11) and/or by ¹⁹F-chemical shift differences for their diastereomeric MTPA derivatives (lit. 10).

configuration with a similar high enantioselectivity (entry 2). A little lowering of the enantioselectivity observed in the reaction with acetaldehyde is due to the less facial selectivity characteristic of the aldehyde (entry 3), but still having considerably high selectivity (83% ee). In the case of trans-cinnamadehyde, 1,4-addition product 4 was obtained in 22% yield with normal aldol 3f (46% yield)(entry 7). The result suggested that nucleophile 1 influenced by the sulfur substituents tends to work as a more soft reagent compared with 1-ethoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)-1-propene which gave only normal aldol with trans-cinnamaldehyde. Additionally, the enantioselectivity in reactions with 1 was not reduced so significantly at more elevated temperatures (-5 - -10°C)(>90% ee).

Table 2 shows the results of desulfurization (eq.3). Desulfurization of 3 proceeds quite easily to afford acetate aldols 5 in good yields.¹¹ The enantiomeric purity of 5 was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD column. The aldols obtained were almost enantiomerically pure except the case from acetaldehyde. Then, our practical method for preparing acetate aldols in high enantiomeric purity could be realized. The utility was checked by preparing a simple aldol related to natural sources. Reaction of octanal with 1 in the presence of L-2 gave dithiolane aldol 3g and after benzoylation the enantioselectivity of the product was determined to be 98% ee by HPLC analysis using a Daicel Chiralcel AD column (entry 8 in Table 1). After desulfurization of 3g, acetate aldol 5g was converted to the methyl ester in order to compare with the reported specific

rotation value. The absolute configuration and purity of the synthetic product ($[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -18.4 (c 0.33, CHCl₃) was consistent with those from the natural (R)-3-hydroxydecanoic acid, a constituent degradatively obtained from a marine natural product, didemin V, by degradation.¹²

In conclusion, it is noted that this chiral borane-promoted aldol reaction with 1 and the following desulfurization provide a versatile and practical method for the preparation of acetate aldols in high enantiomeric purity and furthermore the dithiolane aldol intermediates seem to be available for the other conversions as a novel, chiral synthetic intermediate, which are currently under way.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos.7214224 and 7640790) from the Ministry of Education.

References and Notes

- Ti: (a) Carreira, E. M.; Singer, R. A.; Lee, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8837. (b) Mikami, K.; Matsukawa, S. Ibid. 1994, 116, 4077. Sn(II): (c) Kobayashi, S.; Uchiro, H.; Fujishita, Y.; Shiina, I.; Mukaiyama, T. Ibid. 1991, 113, 4247. (d) Kobayashi, S.; Hayashi, T. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60.,1098. B: (e) Furuta, K.; Maruyama, T.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1041. (f) Furuta, K.; Maruyama, T.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett. 1991, 439. (g) Kiyooka, S. -i.; Kaneko, Y.; Komura, M.; Matsuo, H.; Nakano, M. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2276. (h) Parmee, E. R.; Tempkin, O.; Masamune, S.; Abiko, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9365. (i) Parmee, E. R.; Hong, Y.; Tempkin, O.; Masamune, S. Tetrahe dron Lett. 1992, 33, 1729. (j) Corey, E. J.; Cywin, C. L.; Roper, T. D. Ibid. 1992, 33, 6907.
- 2. Kiyooka, S. -i.; Kaneko, Y.; Kume, K. -i. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4927.
- (a) Braum, M.; Devant, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5031. (b) Oppolzer, W.; MaroContelles, J. Helv. Chem. Acta 1986, 69, 1699. (c) Prasad, K.; Chen, K. -M.; Repic, O.; Hardtmann, G. E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1990, 1, 703.
- 4. Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127.
- 5. Corev. E. J.; Choi, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2857.
- 6. Flippin, L. A.; Dombroski, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2977.
- 7. Purchased from Aldrich®.
- 8. The optical rotation value of the acid from **5a** was $[a]_{D}^{21}$ -14.85 (c 1.94, EtOH) (lit. **3b**; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ 14.9 (c 1.94, EtOH)).
- Kiyooka, S. -i.; Kira, T.; Kaneko, Y. Unpublished results: Reaction of acetaldehyde with 1-(trimethylsiloxy)1-ethoxy-2-methyl-1-propene gave the corresponding aldol in 80% ee under similar reaction conditions to
 entry 3 in Table 1.
- 10. Sullivan, G. R.; Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2143.
- 11. Optical rotation values: $\mathbf{5a} \ [\alpha]_{D}^{25} 49.5 \ (c \ 1.28, \text{CHCl}_3) \ (\text{lit. for } S\text{-}(-)\text{-}\mathbf{5a} \ (98\% \ \text{ee}) \ [\alpha]_{D}^{22} 40.8 \ (c \ 1.03, \text{CHCl}_3); \text{Boaz, N. W. } J. \ Org. \ Chem. \ \mathbf{1992}, 57, 4289), \ \mathbf{5c} \ [\alpha]_{D}^{25} 37.0 \ (1.00, \text{CHCl}_3), \ \mathbf{5d} \ [\alpha]_{D}^{25} 6.84 \ (c \ 1.90, \text{CHCl}_3), \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{5e} \ [\alpha]_{D}^{25} 1.0 \ (c \ 1.00, \text{CHCl}_3).$
- 12. Sakai, R.; Stroh, J. G.; Sullins, D. W.; Rinehart, K. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3734.

(Received in Japan 15 May 1996)